

Degree: Master   
 Doctor

## Xuzhou Medical University Graduate Student

### Evaluation Form of Pre-defense Quality

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Batch \_\_\_\_\_ Student ID \_\_\_\_\_ Specialty \_\_\_\_\_ Degree type \_\_\_\_\_ Tutor \_\_\_\_\_

First Class Index	Second Class Index	Scoring Criteria	Score
Documents prepared (15 points)	1. Project design book (4 points)	1. The content of pre-defense is not completely consistent with the original design. (-2) 2. The content of pre-defense is inconsistent with the original design. (-4)	
	2. Pre-defense deliberation (6 points)	1. Absence of laboratory record book. (-3) 2. Absence of the tutor. (-3)	
	3. Academic thesis (5 points)	1. Absence of academic thesis. (-5) 2. The format of thesis does not meet the requirements. (-2)	
Pre-defense content (70points)	1. The title of the project and the research purpose are clear (10 points)	1. The title of the project is correct and can reflect the research content. (+5) 2. In the introduction part, the reasons why and the adopted methods are elaborated, which means the selection of indicators is reasonable. (+5)	
	2. Methodology (10 points)	The selected methodology should be classic and authoritative. The self-explored methodology is used without a comparison to the classical methodology. (-6)	
	3. Statistics (10 points)	The selection of statistical methods should be appropriate. The adopted statistical methods are partially or completely incorrect. (1-5)	
	4. Results (15 points)	1. The tables and figures are clear. (+10) The tables and figures, photographs, and etc., are not good enough. (-1~4) 2. Result description is objective. (+5)	
	5. Discussion (10 points)	The discussion should be conducted surrounding the experimental results closely. The student fails to conduct such a discussion. (-1~4)	
	6. Conclusion (10 points)	The conclusion should be objective and correct. The student expands the conclusion arbitrarily or omits important points. (-1~4)	
	7. Literature review (5 points)	1. The literature review is not completely consistent with the thesis. (-2) 2. The literature review is inconsistent with the thesis. (-5)	
Courseware and linguistic expression (15points)	1. Courseware (8 points)	The design of the courseware should be beautiful and concise. There are problems in the courseware, such as exceeding words, unreasonable degree of comparison, etc. (-1~3)	
	2. Physical appearance and linguistic expression (7 points)	1. The physical appearance should be elegant, decent and spirited. (+3) 2. The student can express himself/herself in an accurate and fluent way, with a moderate speed of speech. (+4)	
Score (Total score 100)			

Note: If the subject design is unreasonable, the student should not pass the pre-defense deliberation.

Judge's signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Day/Month/Year: \_\_\_\_\_